

# SAMPLE LETTER [NOT A TEMPLATE] BEFORE / AFTER VISION THERAPY RESULTS

[Date]

Re: [Patient Name] DOB: [Date of Birth]

To whom it may concern:

This is a follow-up to our original report regarding [Patient Name] dated [Date of Report]. We have been treating him/her through optometric vision therapy for refractive amblyopia of the left eye and perceptual visual processing deficits. [Patient Name] completed his program on [Date Completed] with excellent gains. In a review of symptoms, he/she and his parents report improved acuity and depth perception, no double vision, and improved attention in school. Our objective testing likewise showed significant improvements.

Acuity improved from 20/200 in the left eye to 20/20<sup>2</sup>. Since acuity has now been equalized to 20/20 acuity in the right eye, binocular vision is greatly enhanced. Binocular instability and alternating suppression were originally exhibited on a Keystone Visual Skills Test but have now normalized, with the eyes resting in a relatively aligned position and able to work together as a team. In support of this, [Patient Name]'s depth perception on Wirt Circles has improved from 0% to 100% level of accuracy. [Patient Name]'s eye tracking profile on the Readalyzer has improved from a first to third grade level equivalency (GLE), maintaining excellent comprehension at 70%. We noted qualitatively that his two eyes are now tracking appropriately when reading written text.

In addition to [Patient Name]'s binocular instabilities, he/she also revealed perceptual weaknesses in multiple areas. He/she has made significant improvements in all areas, and his pre- and post-vision therapy scores are as follows:

**Visual Analysis:** We administered the Test of Visual Perceptual Skills, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition (TVPS-III). Certain subtests of the TVPS were repeated after vision therapy.

[Patient Name]'s pre-vision therapy scores on the subtests were:

<b>Subtest</b>	<b>Percentile Rank</b>
Visual Discrimination	02
Visual Memory	00
Spatial Relations	00
Form Constancy	<1
Sequential Memory	<1
Figure Ground	00
Visual Closure	00

[Patient Name]'s post-vision therapy scores on the subtests were:

<u>Subtest</u>	<u>Percentile Rank</u>
Visual Discrimination	50
Visual Memory	25
Spatial Relations	>99
Form Constancy	75
Sequential Memory	84
Figure Ground	50
Visual Closure	75

**Processing Speed:** We administered the Perceptual Therapy System (PTS) Tachistoscope Test. [Patient Name]'s score improved from the 0.1 to the 55 percentile rank

**Sequential Processing:** We administered the Perceptual Therapy System (PTS) Visual Span Test. [Patient Name]'s score improved from the 0.1 to the 46 percentile rank.

**Visual-Auditory:** We administered the Birch-Belmont Test of Auditory-Visual Integration (AVIT). [Patient Name]'s performance improved from a 0% to a 75% level of accuracy.

**Reading Fluency:** We administered the Test of Silent Word Reading Fluency (TOSWRF). [Patient Name]'s score improved from the 25th to the 90th percentile rank, which is now well above average for his age.

In conclusion, all our goals have been met for [Patient Name]. He/she now has better visual readiness skills to benefit more fully from educational intervention and life experiences. [Patient Name] will return to us for two progress evaluations to monitor his vision and binocular status. In the meantime, he/she will maintain use of his Amblyopia iNet computer program and free space fusion activities. If you have any questions or need additional information, please feel free to contact us.

Sincerely,

[Doctor Signature Information]